

*The Broadview Introduction to*

# PHILOSOPHY

A N D O T H E R K E Y T I T L E S

*from* **BROADVIEW PRESS**



# NEW


## THE BROADVIEW INTRODUCTION TO PHILOSOPHY

This catalogue provides an exclusive sneak peek of our new anthology, *The Broadview Introduction to Philosophy*. Included is the full table of contents, a sample reading, information on the book's online resources, and other details.

To request an examination copy, please write to **[reviewcopies@broadviewpress.com](mailto:reviewcopies@broadviewpress.com)**, and indicate whether you'd prefer a bound book or a PDF examination copy.



# CONTENTS



## THE BROADVIEW INTRODUCTION TO PHILOSOPHY

**2-3**

Features

**4-5**

Table of Contents

**6-11**


Sample Excerpt

**12-13**

Online Resources

---

## ALSO AVAILABLE



**14**

For the Sake of  
Argument




**15**

Historical Editions




**16**

Critical Thinking




**16**

Formal Logic




**17**

Moral Philosophy



**17**

Ethical Theory

**NEW!**

## The Broadview Introduction to Philosophy

Spring 2019 | 1050pp  
978-1-55481-382-7

Student Price: \$74.95

*The Broadview Introduction to Philosophy* is a comprehensive survey of core topics in Western philosophy, covering philosophy of religion, theories of knowledge, metaphysics, ethical theory, social-political philosophy, and issues of life, death, and happiness.

### Written and Edited for Students.

Unlike most other introductory anthologies, this book offers substantial assistance to help students understand the context, structure, and terminology of the provided readings. Carefully-crafted introductions and thorough annotations provide the guidance needed to grapple with this material for the first time, while keeping the primary text central.


**Comprehensive Coverage.** Seventy-five historical and contemporary readings are included, ranging from Plato to the present day. Readings are substantial, and in many cases complete (including the full text of Descartes's *Meditations*).

**A Mix of the Classic and the Contemporary.** Offers extensive coverage of foundational topics such as free will, God's existence, and ethics, but also readings on contemporary themes such as immigration, gender, race, and epistemic bias.

### Representation of Women Authors.

A higher proportion of readings by women than other leading introductory anthologies, with writing by female philosophers represented in each of the book's sections.

For courses on religion, epistemology, and metaphysics, or courses on ethics and social-political philosophy, a split-volume version of *The Broadview Introduction to Philosophy* is also available:




## Volume I: Knowledge and Reality

560pp | 978-1-55481-401-5

Student Price: \$49.95

Includes 41 readings on religion, epistemology, and metaphysics.



## Volume II: Values and Society

590pp | 978-1-55481-402-2

Student Price: \$49.95

Includes 34 readings on ethics, social-political philosophy, and life, death, & happiness.

## COMPARE OUR PRICING

The complete text of *The Broadview Introduction to Philosophy* has a retail price of **\$74.95**, while the split-volume editions are **\$49.95** apiece. Compare this with other leading introductory philosophy anthologies:\*

- *Introduction to Philosophy* (Oxford University Press): **\$89.95**
- *Reason & Responsibility* (Cengage): **\$199.95**
- *The Norton Introduction to Philosophy* (Norton): **\$77.50**

\*Publisher suggested retail prices as of October 2018

## THE COMPLETE VOLUME of *The Broadview Introduction to Philosophy* INCLUDES ALL OF THE FOLLOWING READINGS

\* **Volume I: Knowledge and Reality**  
INCLUDES PARTS I-III

\* **Volume II: Values and Society**  
INCLUDES PARTS IV-VI

### CONTENTS

#### Introduction

What is Philosophy?  
A Brief Introduction to Arguments  
Introductory Tips on Reading and Writing  
Philosophy

#### PART I: PHILOSOPHY OF RELIGION

St. Anselm of Canterbury  
From *Proslogion*  
St. Thomas Aquinas  
From *Summa Theologiae*  
David Hume  
from *Dialogues concerning Natural Religion*  
William Paley  
“The Argument from Design”  
Gottfried Leibniz  
From *Theodicy*  
J.L. Mackie  
“Evil and Omnipotence”  
Marilyn McCord Adams  
from “Horrendous Evils and the Goodness  
of God”  
Blaise Pascal  
“Pensées”  
William Clifford  
“The Ethics of Belief”  
William James  
“The Will to Believe”

#### PART II: THEORY OF KNOWLEDGE

##### Epistemology

Plato  
“The Allegory of the Cave”  
René Descartes  
*Meditations on First Philosophy*  
John Locke  
From *An Essay Concerning Human  
Understanding*  
Immanuel Kant  
*Critique of Pure Reason*, Introduction  
G.E. Moore  
“Proof of an External World”  
Edmund Gettier  
“Is Justified True Belief Knowledge?”

Lorraine Code  
“Is the Sex of the Knower Epistemologically  
Significant?”  
Jennifer Saul  
“Skepticism and Implicit Bias”  
Lee Hester & Jim Cheney  
“Truth and Native American Epistemology”

##### Philosophy of Science

David Hume  
From *An Enquiry concerning Human  
Understanding*  
Carl Hempel  
From “Scientific Inquiry: Invention and Test”  
Karl Popper  
“Science: Conjectures and Refutations”  
Thomas Kuhn  
“Objectivity, Value Judgment, and Theory  
Choice”  
Helen Longino  
“Can There Be a Feminist Science?”

#### PART III: METAPHYSICS

##### Philosophy of Mind

Gilbert Ryle  
“Descartes’s Myth”  
Ned Block  
“Troubles with Functionalism”  
Thomas Nagel  
“What Is It Like to Be a Bat?”  
Frank Jackson  
From “Epiphenomenal Qualia” & “What  
Mary Didn’t Know”  
David Chalmers  
“The Puzzle of Conscious Experience”  
Amy Kind  
“How to Believe in Qualia”

##### Free Will

Paul Rée  
From *The Illusion of Free Will*  
Ishtiyaque Haji  
From *Incompatibilism’s Allure*  
A.J. Ayer  
“Freedom and Necessity”

Harry Frankfurt  
 "Alternate Possibilities and Moral Responsibilities"  
 P.F. Strawson  
 "Freedom and Resentment"  
 Susan Wolf  
 "Sanity and the Metaphysics of Responsibility"

### Personal Identity

John Locke  
 From *An Essay Concerning Human Understanding*  
 Bernard Williams  
 "The Self and the Future"  
 Daniel C. Dennett  
 "Where Am I?"  
 Derek Parfit  
 "Personal Identity"  
 Maria Schechtman  
 "Experience, Agency, & Personal Identity"

### PART IV: ETHICS

#### Ethical Theory

Plato  
*Republic*, Book II  
 Aristotle  
 From the *Nicomachean Ethics*, Books I, II, and X  
 Immanuel Kant  
 From *Foundations of the Metaphysics of Morals*, Sections 1-2  
 John Stuart Mill  
 From *Utilitarianism*  
 Friedrich Nietzsche  
*Beyond Good and Evil*, Sections 259-261  
 Virginia Held  
 "Feminist Transformations of Moral Theory"  
 Judith Jarvis Thomson  
 "The Trolley Problem"

#### Ethical Issues

Don Marquis  
 "Why Abortion is Immoral"  
 Judith Jarvis Thomson  
 "A Defense of Abortion"  
 Christopher Wellman  
 "Immigration and Freedom of Association"  
 José Jorge Mendoza  
 "The Ethics of Immigration Enforcement"  
 Virginia Held  
 "Terrorism and War"  
 Claudia Card  
 "Recognizing Terrorism"

Peter Singer  
 "Equality for Animals?"  
 Mary Midgley  
 "Is a Dolphin a Person?"

### PART V: SOCIAL-POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY

#### Justice

Aristotle  
 From the *Nicomachean Ethics*, Book V, Sections 1-5  
 Thomas Hobbes  
 From *Leviathan*, Parts I-II  
 John Stuart Mill  
 From *On Liberty*  
 Marx & Engels  
 From *The Communist Manifesto*  
 John Rawls  
 From *Justice as Fairness: A Restatement*  
 Robert Nozick  
 From *Anarchy, State and Utopia*  
 Susan Moller Okin  
 "Justice and Gender"

#### Equality and Fairness

Mary Wollstonecraft  
 From *Vindication of the Rights of Woman*  
 Simone de Beauvoir  
*The Second Sex*, Introduction  
 Talia Mae Bettcher  
 "Trans Women and the Meaning of 'Woman'"  
 Iris Marion Young  
 "Five Faces of Oppression"  
 Kwame Anthony Appiah  
 "How to Decide if Race Exists"  
 Ta-Nehisi Coates  
 From *Between the World and Me*

### PART VI: LIFE, DEATH, AND HAPPINESS

Epictetus  
 From the *Enchiridion*  
 A.J. Ayer  
 "The Claims of Philosophy"  
 Jean-Paul Sartre  
 From *Existentialism Is a Humanism*  
 Albert Camus  
 From *The Myth of Sisyphus*  
 Thomas Nagel  
 "The Absurd"  
 Kathy Behrendt  
 "Reasons to Live versus Reasons Not to Die"

\*\*Note that the above contents are tentative and subject to change

Sample from *The Broadview Introduction to Philosophy*

*The Broadview Introduction to Philosophy* provides comprehensive apparatus for students.

Thorough introductory components precede each reading, illuminating the context and structure of each text.

BLAISE PASCAL

*Pensées**Who Was Blaise Pascal?*

Blaise Pascal (1623–1662) was a French scientific prodigy, one of the most important mathematicians of the seventeenth century, and a Catholic theologian. He was born in France’s Auvergne region and his mother died when he was three. The family moved to Paris in 1631, where Pascal and his siblings were educated entirely by his father Étienne (who never remarried). Pascal was a brilliant child, especially interested in mathematics, and even before he was sixteen was developing new proofs and corresponding with some of the leading mathematicians of his day—some of whom, such as René Descartes, initially refused to believe they were reading the work of a child.

When Pascal was sixteen the French government, enmeshed in the very expensive Thirty Years’ War, defaulted on the government’s bonds where the Pascals’ money was invested, and the family was suddenly plunged from living in relative comfort to hard times. To make matters worse, Pascal’s father soon had to flee Paris, leaving his children behind, because of his (understandable) opposition to the government’s fiscal policies. For several months Blaise and his two sisters were in the care of a neighbour, Madame Saintot, a society beauty who ran one of the most glittering salons—regular intellectual gatherings/parties—in Paris.

Pascal’s father was eventually able to find an appointment as the king’s tax collector for the city of Rouen, and began to rebuild the family’s financial fortunes. But Rouen’s tax records were in complete disarray because of recent failed popular uprisings, and the job of rebuilding those records was a tedious and grinding one. In order to help his father with the endless calculations required, the eighteen-year-old Pascal built the first of a series of mechanical calculators, capable of addition and subtraction, which he

developed and refined over the following decade. There was a prior abortive attempt by Wilhelm Schickard in Germany in the 1620s to build a mechanical calculator, but Pascal’s machine was probably the first properly functional calculator ever built; it was another two hundred years before the study of mechanical calculation took a further jump forward, including the work of Charles Babbage and his difference engine, and eventually became modern computer engineering.

In mathematics, Pascal’s role in the development of probability theory was his most influential contribution. Originally applied to gambling—as we see in this selection—his ideas, partly developed in correspondence with the French lawyer and mathematician Pierre de Fermat, have strongly influenced the development of modern economics, actuarial science and social science, and were an important basis for Leibniz’s formulation of the calculus.

In addition to his achievements in mathematics, Pascal did important work in the experimental sciences, especially on the properties of fluids and air pressure, and he created influential experiments which sought to demonstrate the then-controversial existence of a vacuum.

In 1654, when he was 31, Pascal—already dabbling with religion after the illness and death of his father and the departure of his younger sister, Jacqueline, to a convent—had an intense night-time religious vision that changed his life. He followed his sister in converting to a theological movement within Catholicism that emphasised original sin and human depravity, and hence the necessity of divine grace for salvation, as well as the doctrine of predestination which holds that the fate of individual human souls has already been decided by God.<sup>1</sup> It was at this time that

<sup>1</sup> This movement was known by its detractors as Jansenism, after the Dutch theologian Cornelius Jansen who died in 1638. It was suppressed by the French monarch, King Louis XIV, and by the mainstream of the Catholic church including Pope Alexander VII and the Jesuits.

## 2 | PHILOSOPHY OF RELIGION

Pascal began his religious writings, though he also continued his mathematical work.<sup>7</sup> During this period he was also increasingly plagued by painful poor health—based on an autopsy performed after his death, it's clear he had a brain lesion, but it is speculated that he may also have

had both tuberculosis and stomach cancer—and he lived frugally and abstained from sensual pleasures. What he published in this period—including the *Lettres provinciales* (The Provincial Letters, 1656–7)—established Pascal's reputation as one of the greatest writers of French prose.

### What Is the Structure of This Reading?

*Pensées* ('Thoughts') is a collection of fragments of writing that Pascal had been preparing to put together as a major defense of Christianity. He died, aged 39, before the book, his life's work, could be completed. Over the centuries since his death several editors and translators have published different arrangements of the material, but the proper order of the fragments is disputed.

The fragment reprinted here is the most well-known section of the *Pensées*, and is part of a series of thoughts where Pascal argues that we do not require certainty in order to believe in religion—and that such a certainty is unavailable because of our limited and finite understanding. It is rational to believe in God even though we cannot be certain of God's existence and nature, Pascal argues, because this is a question on which we are forced to make a choice (and an important question with major consequences for how we should live), and since we must pick an option the rational choice is to believe in God and the Christian religion.

### Some Useful Background Information

1. Although Pascal became a fervent defender of Catholicism, and was an internationally-known scientist and mathematician with many aristocratic friends, he was not really a member of the establishment and many of his ideas were radical and unsettling ones at the time. After his conversion to a brand of Catholicism rather like his sister's 'Jansenism' Pascal became embroiled in a public and legal battle with the powerful Jesuit order, and his *Provincial Letters* contained scathing—and humorous—criticisms of Jesuit casuistry and had to be published anonymously or Pascal was in real danger of prosecution.

2. Pascal's Wager is often described as an example of what has come to be called decision theory, which is the branch of probability theory that examines how to make decisions in situations of uncertainty. The two key concepts of decision theory are *preferences* and *prospects*: how much do you want different outcomes to occur, and how likely are those outcomes? At its simplest, combining weightings for preferences with judgements of prospects will produce an *expected value* for each possible option, and then the rational agent will choose the option with the highest expected value. Suppose that you are considering playing a betting game where you toss a fair coin twice and if heads comes up both times you win \$8, but on any other result you must pay \$2. We can represent the values of the different outcomes in a table:

Option	You win	You lose
1. You bet	\$8	-\$2
2. You don't bet	\$0	\$0

Consider option 1. The probability of getting two heads is  $1/4$ . The probability of *not* getting two heads is therefore  $3/4$ . The expected value of choice one, betting, is therefore  $(\$8 \times 1/4) + (-\$2 \times 3/4) = \$2 + -\$1.50 = \$0.50$ .

If you choose option 2 and don't place a bet, then you are guaranteed to neither gain nor lose money, and so your expected value is \$0. So, using these numbers, the expected value of choice one exceeds the expected value of choice two, so—on this simple version of decision theory—you should take the bet.

Relevant background and structural information remove barriers to comprehension—enhancing understanding without summarizing the reading.

<sup>7</sup> He also found time to inaugurate what is probably the first bus line in history, when his plan for a many-seated carriage to move passengers around Paris was implemented.

Sample from *The Broadview Introduction to Philosophy*

BLAISE PASCAL · PENSÉES | 3

*Pensées\**

Readings have been carefully selected in light of several factors, including historical significance, cogency and clarity, and suitability for first-year students.

In many cases, entire articles, chapters, or sections of books are included; in others (such as this one), shorter excerpts are used to convey a specific argument.

§233

...We know that there is an infinite, and are ignorant of its nature. As we know it to be false that numbers are finite, it is therefore true that there is an infinity in number. But we do not know what it is. It is false that it is even, it is false that it is odd; for the addition of a unit can make no change in its nature. Yet it is a number, and every number is odd or even (this is certainly true of every finite number). So we may well know that there is a God without knowing what He is. Is there not one substantial truth, seeing there are so many things which are not the truth itself?

We know then the existence and nature of the finite, because we also are finite and have extension.<sup>†</sup> We know the existence of the infinite, and are ignorant of its nature, because it has extension like us, but not limits like us. But we know neither the existence nor the nature of God, because He has neither extension nor limits.

But by faith we know His existence; in glory<sup>‡</sup> we shall know His nature. Now, I have already shown that we may well know the existence of a thing, without knowing its nature.

Let us now speak according to natural lights.<sup>§</sup>

If there is a God, He is infinitely incomprehensible, since, having neither parts nor limits, He has no affinity to us.<sup>¶</sup> We are then incapable of knowing either what He is or if He is. This being so, who will dare to undertake the decision of the question? Not we, who have no affinity to Him.

Who then will blame Christians for not being able to give a reason for their belief, since they profess a religion for which they cannot give a reason? They declare,

in expounding it to the world, that it is a foolishness, *stultitiam*;<sup>¶¶</sup> and then you complain that they do not prove it! If they proved it, they would not keep their word; it is in lacking proofs, that they are not lacking in sense.<sup>¶¶</sup> “Yes, but although this excuses those who offer it as such<sup>¶¶</sup>, and takes away from them the blame of putting it forward without reason, it does not excuse those who receive it.” Let us then examine this point, and say, “God is, or He is not.” But to which side shall we incline? Reason can decide nothing here. There is an infinite chaos which separated us. A game is being played at the extremity of this infinite distance where heads<sup>¶¶</sup> or tails will turn up. What will you wager? According to reason, you can do neither the one thing nor the other; according to reason, you can defend neither of the propositions.

Do not then reprove for error those who have made a choice; for you know nothing about it. “No, but I blame them for having made, not this choice, but a choice; for again both he who chooses heads and he who chooses tails are equally at fault, they are both in the wrong. The true course is not to wager at all.”

Yes; but you must wager. It is not optional. You are embarked. Which will you choose then? Let us see. Since you must choose, let us see which interests you least. You have two things to lose, the true and the good; and two things to stake, your reason and your will, your knowledge and your happiness; and your nature has two things to shun, error and misery. Your reason is no more shocked in choosing one rather than the other, since you must of necessity choose. This is one point settled. But your happiness? Let us weigh the gain and the loss in wagering that God is. Let us

\* From *Pensées*, trans. W.F. Trotter, E.P. Dutton & Co., 1958.

† We also are finite and have extension: we are limited beings with spatial boundaries.

‡ In glory: in heaven, after death.

§ According to natural lights: in accord with the power of reasoning we all have by virtue of being human.

¶ No affinity to us: no similarity or commonality with us.

¶¶ This is a reference to the Bible, 1 Corinthians 1, 18: “For the message of the cross is foolishness to those who are perishing, but to us who are being saved it is the power of God.” *Stultitiam* is Latin for being a fool.

¶¶ It is in lacking proofs, that they are not lacking in sense: it is by being without proof that they show that they are not without sense.

¶¶ Offer it as such: propose it without giving a reason.

¶¶ The word Pascal uses for heads is *croix*, which subtly relates the gambler’s choice to the Christian message of the cross referenced just above.

## 4 | PHILOSOPHY OF RELIGION

estimate these two chances. If you gain, you gain all; if you lose, you lose nothing. Wager, then, without hesitation that He is.—“That is very fine. Yes, I must wager; but I may perhaps wager too much.”—Let us see. Since there is an equal risk of gain and of loss, if you had only to gain two lives, instead of one,<sup>7</sup> you might still wager. But if there were three lives to gain, you would have to play (since you are under the necessity of playing), and you would be imprudent, when you are forced to play, not to chance your life<sup>8</sup> to gain three at a game where there is an equal risk of loss and gain. But there is an eternity of life and happiness. And this being so, if there were an infinity of chances, of which one only would be for you,<sup>9</sup> you would still be right in wagering one to win two, and you would act stupidly, being obliged to play, by refusing to stake one life against three at a game in which out of an infinity of chances there is one for you, if there were an infinity of an infinitely happy life to gain. But there is here an infinity of an infinitely happy life to gain, a chance of gain against a finite number of chances of loss, and what you stake is finite. It is all divided;<sup>10</sup> wherever the infinite is and there is not an infinity of chances of loss against that of gain, there is no time to hesitate, you must give all. And thus, when one is forced to play, he must renounce reason to preserve his life, rather than risk it for infinite gain, as likely to happen as the loss of nothingness.<sup>11</sup>

For it is no use to say it is uncertain if we will gain, and it is certain that we risk, and that the infinite distance between the *certainty* of what is staked and the *uncertainty* of what will be gained, equals the finite good which is certainly staked against the uncertain infinite. It is not so, as every player stakes a certainty to gain an

uncertainty, and yet he stakes a finite certainty to gain a finite uncertainty, without transgressing against reason. There is not an infinite distance between the certainty staked and the uncertainty of the gain; that is untrue. In truth, there is an infinity between the certainty of gain and the certainty of loss. But the uncertainty of the gain is proportioned to the certainty of the stake according to the proportion of the chances of gain and loss. Hence it comes that, if there are as many risks on one side as on the other, the course is to play even<sup>12</sup>; and then the certainty of the stake is equal to the uncertainty of the gain, so far is it from fact that there is an infinite distance between them. And so our proposition is of infinite force, when there is the finite to stake in a game where there are equal risks of gain and of loss, and the infinite to gain. This is demonstrable; and if men are capable of any truths, this is one.

“I confess it, I admit it. But, still, is there no means of seeing the faces of the cards?”—Yes, Scripture and the rest, etc. “Yes, but I have my hands tied and my mouth closed; I am forced to wager, and am not free. I am not released, and am so made that I cannot believe.<sup>13</sup> What, then, would you have me do?”

True. But at least learn your inability to believe, since reason brings you to this, and yet you cannot believe.<sup>14</sup> Endeavour then to convince yourself, not by increase of proofs of God, but by the abatement of your passions. You would like to attain faith, and do not know the way; you would like to cure yourself of unbelief, and ask the remedy for it. Learn of those who have been bound like you, and who now stake all their possessions.<sup>15</sup> These are people who know the way which you would follow, and who are cured of an ill of which you would be cured. Follow the way by which they began; by acting as if they

<sup>7</sup> To gain two lives, instead of one: to stand to win two lives instead of one.

<sup>8</sup> To chance your life: to bet your life.

<sup>9</sup> If there were an infinity of chances, of which one only would be for you: even if there were an infinite number of outcomes where you lose and only one where you win.

<sup>10</sup> It is all divided: it is already mathematically determined.

<sup>11</sup> Infinite gain, as likely to happen as the loss of nothingness: an infinite gain which is just as likely to happen as a loss which (by comparison) amounts to nothing.

<sup>12</sup> The course is to play even: the odds are even.

<sup>13</sup> I am not released, and am so made that I cannot believe: I am not free to choose (what I believe) and I am built in such a way that I cannot believe in God.

<sup>14</sup> But at least learn your inability to believe, since reason brings you to this, and yet you cannot believe: but at least realize that if you can't believe it is not because reason prevents you, since it doesn't (but because your emotions or prejudices do).

<sup>15</sup> Stake all their possessions: wager all they have.

Practical footnotes explain unfamiliar expressions and references but do not distract students with any philosophical critique.

Sample from *The Broadview Introduction to Philosophy*

BLAISE PASCAL · PENSÉES | 5

believed, taking the holy water, having masses said, etc. Even this will naturally make you believe, and deaden your acuteness.—“But this is what I am afraid of.”—And why? What have you to lose?

But to show you that this leads you there, it is this which will lessen the passions, which are your stumbling-blocks.

*The end of this discourse.*—Now, what harm will befall you in taking this side? You will be faithful, honest, humble, grateful, generous, a sincere friend, truthful. Certainly you will not have those poisonous pleasures, glory and luxury; but will you not have others? I will tell you that you will thereby gain in this life, and that, at each step you take on this road, you will see so great certainty of gain, so much nothingness in what you risk, that you will at last recognise that you have wagered for something certain and infinite, for which you have given nothing.

“Ah! This discourse transports me, charms me,” etc.

If this discourse pleases you and seems impressive, know that it is made by a man who has knelt, both before and after it, in prayer to that Being, infinite and without parts,<sup>†</sup> before whom he lays all he has, for you also to lay before Him all you have for your own good and for His glory, that so strength may be given to lowliness.

...

§277

The heart has its reasons, which reason does not know. We feel it in a thousand things. I say that the heart naturally loves the Universal Being, and also itself naturally, according as it gives itself to them; and it hardens itself against one or the other at its will. You have rejected the one, and kept the other. Is it by reason that you love yourself? ■

\* Deaden your acuteness: Pascal uses the word *abêtira*, which implies becoming more like an unthinking, instinctive animal than a human being, who is separated from the beasts by possessing the (in this case unhelpful) capacity to reason.

† Without parts: indivisible.

### Suggestions for Critical Reflection


1. “[Y]ou must wager. It is not optional.” Why do you think Pascal insists on this? Is he right?
2. “And so our proposition is of infinite force, when there is the finite to stake in a game where there are equal risks of gain and of loss, and the infinite to gain. This is demonstrable; and if men are capable of any truths, this is one.” This is arguably the heart of Pascal’s Wager: what exactly is he saying here? How persuasive is it?
3. Pascal considers the complaint that it is all very well to say that we should choose to believe in God, but beliefs are not the sort of thing we can simply choose to have or not have. This kind of objection has been made to Pascal’s Wager many times since. How adequately do you think he deals with the problem? Imagine that somebody offered you \$100 if you would sincerely believe that all the dogs and cats on earth were controlled by aliens on the planet Zarkon. Could you sincerely believe it? Suppose we can bring ourselves to believe in something, by a process other than reasoning (i.e. by a process other than relying on evidence or argument): how authentic would such a belief be?
4. Pascal was a scientist and a mathematician, and one of the key figures in the development of the scientific method; in science, reason is supreme in the pursuit of truth and the emotions or presumptions have no role. Yet in the *Pensées* he argues (using reason) that reason has no role in the apprehension of religious truth. This can seem to have an air of paradox. Is it in fact paradoxical? What do you think is going on here?

## 6 | PHILOSOPHY OF RELIGION

5. Although Pascal's Wager can seem fairly straightforward as an argument, there is disagreement among commentators as to what it is actually supposed to show. Is it intended to establish that *the only rational option* is to bet that God exists (to choose to be religious). Or is it really meant to show that logical reasoning *cannot support either* faith or a lack of faith and that therefore, since there is no good reason to pick one over the other, we need some other principle to allow us to decide (since we have no choice except to decide). Think carefully about the difference between these two interpretations. Which do you think is the right one? Irrespective of what Pascal actually intended, which do you think is the more plausible argument?
6. Suppose Pascal persuades us that we should choose to be religious. Which religion?
7. What are the limits of reason?

Thought-provoking, open-ended questions encourage critical engagement with the reading.

## The Broadview Introduction to Philosophy—SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL




### Student Resource Site

The student resource site, available for free to students who purchase new copies of the anthology, offers the following:

- Self-test questions to help students assess their understanding of the readings
- An interactive tool for building argumentative essays
- Tips on writing in philosophy
- Citations and sources in Chicago Style
- Suggestions for further reading
- Helpful links to additional resources

### ARGUMENTATIVE ESSAY BUILDER

This interactive tool is designed to guide students through the process of creating a structured argument for an introductory philosophy essay— from choosing a thesis statement, to identifying and defending premises, to considering counter-arguments. As students work through the tool, their responses are used to generate an essay outline. This outline can then be printed or emailed to their instructor or peers.



## Instructor Resource Site


All instructors teaching from *The Broadview Introduction to Philosophy* receive complimentary access to additional teaching resources designed to save time and assist in lecture presentation.

### ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS


The instructor resource site offers additional multiple-choice and true/false questions for instructors to use as assignments or quizzes. The questions are offered both in Word format and as “Common Cartridge” files. The Common Cartridge files are designed to work with Learning Management Systems, such as Blackboard and Moodle. These files can be uploaded directly into many Learning Management Systems, and allow instructors to track student progress and success automatically.

### INSTRUCTORS' NOTES

Instructors' notes and suggested questions for discussion have been prepared by an experienced philosophy instructor.



## ADDITIONAL TITLES FROM BROADVIEW



*"A unique and wonderful book! Wise and witty in equal measure, it makes the process of thinking and arguing about the fundamental philosophical issues come alive in a way that I have never seen before. Written in a relaxed, informal style and peppered with references to contemporary culture, it will be enjoyed by anyone interested in developing their skills in thinking clearly about the perennial issues of the human condition."*

— Paul Boghossian, New York University

*"A practical guide for producing philosophical truth: a how-to manual for clear and effective argumentation, with advice on how and why to avoid the dogma, obscurity, and pretension that often becloud the discipline. Informal, friendly, personal, opinionated, engaging, and funny, this book belongs on the shelf of every philosophy student."*

— Sheldon Wein, Saint Mary's University

## For the Sake of Argument:

How to Do Philosophy

By Robert M. Martin

2016 | 148pp | 978-1-55481-337-7

Student Price: \$14.95

**Concise and Supplemental.** *For the Sake of Argument* works very well alongside an anthology or other introductory text. It can be packaged with the full edition of *The Broadview Introduction to Philosophy* for the same price as the anthology alone.

**Focuses on Arguing and Writing Philosophically.** An opinionated introduction to the discipline of philosophy, *For the Sake of Argument* is a practical handbook for philosophical thinking and writing. It includes a brief glossary of philosophical terminology and a guide to citation.

**Suitable for Students New to the Discipline.** Academic philosophy can be puzzling to newcomers. The conventions, terms, and expectations entrenched among philosophers aren't always clear from the outside. Bestselling author Martin takes this into account, and offers a practical guide to arguing and writing

philosophically that will be an invaluable resource for students.

**Conversational Style.** Anecdotes, asides, digressions, oddities, and entertainments are provided throughout. The book includes jokes suitable to both newcomers and veterans to philosophy—but it doesn't shy away from the nuts and bolts of philosophical argument.

## CONTENTS


- CHAPTER 1: Truth
- CHAPTER 2: The Right Way to Argue
- CHAPTER 3: Writing Philosophy: Why and How
- CHAPTER 4: Good and Bad Writing
- CHAPTER 5: How Arguments Work
- CHAPTER 6: "That's Like Arguing"
- CHAPTER 7: Where You Get True Premises: The Obvious
- CHAPTER 8: Where You Get True Premises: Authorities
- CHAPTER 9: Where You Get True Premises: Analysis
- CHAPTER 10: The Thought Experiment
- CHAPTER 11: Inference to the Best Explanation
- APPENDIX 1: Some Very Brief Suggestions about Further Reading
- APPENDIX 2: Forms for Footnotes and Bibliography Notes
- Glossary

## ADDITIONAL TITLES FROM BROADVIEW

## HISTORICAL EDITIONS

Broadview's editions are designed for the undergraduate classroom and offer ample support for the student reader. Each edition includes a student-focused introduction designed to contextualize and clarify the text, along with footnote annotations that are explanatory rather than interpretive. They are priced competitively, and multiple editions can be packaged together at a discount for students.

Broadview publishes over 35 great works of philosophy in classroom-friendly editions, including:



**Plato, *The Apology and Related Dialogues***

*Trans. Cathal Woods;  
Ryan Pack*  
*Ed. Andrew Bailey*

**\$9.95**



**Rousseau, *Fundamental Political Writings***

*Trans. Ian Johnston*  
*Ed. Matthew W. Maguire;  
David Lay Williams*

**\$14.95**



**Aquinas, *Basic Philosophical Writing***

*Ed. & Trans. Steven Baldner*

**\$14.95**



**Kant, *Groundwork for the Metaphysics of Morals***

*Ed. Lara Denis*

**\$14.95**



**Descartes, *Meditations on First Philosophy***

*Trans. Ian Johnston*  
*Ed. Andrew Bailey*


**\$10.95**



**Wollstonecraft, *The Vindications***

*Ed. D.L. Macdonald;  
Kathleen Scherf*


**\$14.95**



**Hume, *An Enquiry concerning Human Understanding***

*Ed. Lorne Falkenstein*

**\$14.95**




**Mill, *Utilitarianism***

*Ed. Andrew Bailey*

**\$9.95**

Visit [broadviewpress.com](http://broadviewpress.com) to see all of our available editions, or contact [examcopies@broadviewpress.com](mailto:examcopies@broadviewpress.com) to request samples.

## ADDITIONAL TITLES FROM BROADVIEW



## Critical Thinking

An Introduction to the  
Basic Skills - Seventh  
Edition

By William Hughes,  
Jonathan Lavery, and  
Katheryn Doran

2014 | 488pp | 978-1-55481-197-7

Seventh Canadian Edition use  
ISBN 978-1-55481-199-1


Student Price: \$59.95 | Concise Edition: \$34.95

***"I cannot think of a better introduction to critical thinking that does not compromise philosophical rigor."***

—Mahesh Ananth, Indiana University,  
South Bend

**Clear, Accessible, and Comprehensive.** *Critical Thinking* provides students with a thorough introduction to the essential skills of good reasoning. In straightforward, readable prose, the authors cover such central topics as deductive and inductive reasoning, logical fallacies, how to recognize and avoid ambiguity, and how to distinguish what is relevant from what is not.

**Practical Content to Keep Students Engaged.** *Critical Thinking* shows students how reasoning skills are applicable to their own lives and careers, with chapters on scientific, legal, and moral reasoning, as well as media analysis and essay writing.



## Formal Logic

By Paul A. Gregory

2017 | 472pp  
978-1-55481-272-1

Student Price: \$45.95

***"Formal Logic is clear, accessible, and intuitive, but it is also precise, explicit, and thorough. Complex and often confusing concepts are rolled out in a no-nonsense and direct manner with funny and demystifying terminology and helpful analogies. It's a pedagogical gem."***

—Mary Kate McGowan, Wellesley College

**Complex Ideas, Plain Language.** *Formal Logic* offers thorough coverage of truth-functional and quantificational logic, as well as the basics of more advanced topics such as set theory and modal logic. The book does not presuppose any background in logic or mathematics, making the content approachable for students new to the subject.

**Exercise-driven.** Over 400 exercises help teach students translations, tables, trees, natural deduction, and simple meta-proofs.



Both *Critical Thinking* and *Formal Logic* have **companion websites** that offer additional questions and other materials for instructors and for students.

## Where's the logic in EXPENSIVE TEXTBOOKS?


### COMPARE OUR PRICES

Hurley & Watson, <i>A Concise Introduction to Logic</i> , 13th Edition	\$181.95
Bergmann, Moor, and Nelson, <i>The Logic Book</i> , 6th Edition	\$151.20
<b>Gregory, <i>Formal Logic</i></b>	<b>\$45.95</b>
Moore and Parker, <i>Critical Thinking</i> , 12th Edition (ebook only)	\$113.75
Vaughn, <i>The Power of Critical Thinking</i> , 5th Edition	\$92.95
<b>Hughes, Lavery, and Doran, <i>Critical Thinking</i>, 7th Edition</b>	<b>\$59.95</b>

\*Publisher suggested retail prices as of October 2018



## ADDITIONAL TITLES FROM BROADVIEW




## Moral Philosophy

A Contemporary Introduction

By Daniel R. DeNicola

2018 | 332pp  
978-1-55481-354-4

Student Price: \$34.95



## Ethical Theory

A Concise Anthology - Third Edition

Ed. Heimir Geirsson and Margaret R. Holmgren

2018 | 424pp  
978-1-55481-398-8

Student Price: \$44.95

*“Using DeNicola’s Moral Philosophy: A Contemporary Introduction has transformed my undergraduate ethical theory course: for the first time, I have a textbook that presents material at exactly the right level of complexity, and keeps the students engaged and enthusiastic about what they are learning. The cases that open each chapter capture students’ attention, and the questions at the end of each chapter lead to sophisticated and lively discussions. The book covers each ethical theory in a way that goes beyond the basics and into the most interesting and controversial implications that the theory may have, encouraging students to think critically and complexly as they are developing their own philosophical positions.”*

—Lisa Tessman, Binghamton University

*“The third edition of Ethical Theory: A Concise Anthology, edited by Heimir Geirsson and Margaret R. Holmgren, is one of my favorite textbooks. It couples historical readings with contemporary moral applications. The canonical readings on ethical theory are accessible to undergraduates, while the compelling applications that follow each section clearly demonstrate to students the relevance of those timeless theories. Because the chapters are topically varied and intellectually rigorous, I do not find it necessary to supplement with additional readings. This book can be used as a stand-alone text in any undergraduate ethical theory course.”*

—Nancy Williams, Wofford College

**A Compact yet Thorough Introduction to Ethics.** *Moral Philosophy* covers classic ethical theories as well as contemporary arguments. It includes insightful discussions on the meaning and status of ethical claims as well as the role and limits of morality in a flourishing life.

**Case Studies and Scenarios Included.** Each chapter begins with a provocative real case or fictional scenario designed to illustrate the issues at hand.

**Questions to Provoke Discussion and Reflection.** Questions for discussion ask students to analyze and explain the chapter’s content. Reflective questions propose deeper and more personal inquiries. A glossary of key terms is also provided.

**Includes Both Canonical and Contemporary Readings.** Canonical selections from Plato, Aristotle, Kant, and Mill. Contemporary readings from Peter Singer, Judith Jarvis Thomson, Susan Wolf, and many others. Theories covered include Divine Command Theory, Consequentialism, Deontology, Virtue Ethics, and Feminist Ethics.

**Substantial Readings Prepared for Students.** Historical selections are generous in size, and most of the contemporary readings are provided in their entirety. The editors contextualize the theories and readings in each section for student readers.



## BROADVIEW PRESS

An Independent Academic Publisher Since 1985

Visit us at [WWW.BROADVIEWPRESS.COM](http://WWW.BROADVIEWPRESS.COM)

Find us on Facebook and Twitter (@broadviewpress)  

Canada



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Broadview Press acknowledges the financial support of the Government of Canada and the government of Ontario through the support of the Ontario Media Development Corporation for our publishing activities.

## IMAGE CREDITS

Cover image from Michel Vrana; Typesetting: Em Dash Design; Broadview Companion Site Icons: J. Avolio.



Ancient  
Forest  
Friendly™

This catalogue is printed on 100% recycled paper.